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Item 8.01 Other Events.

As previously disclosed in our report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 and in our report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2007, we are the respondent in an arbitration proceeding before the American Arbitration Association initiated by Competitive Technologies, Inc. (“CTI”). In the arbitration proceeding CTI alleges breach of the terms of our license agreement with CTI for patent rights related to certain compounds and methods of treatment for sexual dysfunction and other actions asserted to arise out of the license agreement.

On December 20, 2007, the arbitration panel issued an order on motions for summary adjudication of certain claims filed by us and CTI, dismissing eight of thirteen claims asserted by CTI in their entirety, and partially dismissing an additional claim. The dismissed CTI claims asserted fraud and negligent misrepresentation, tortious interference with business expectancy, breach of fiduciary duty, violation of the Connecticut antitrust statutes including conspiracy, assertion that bremelanotide is an “improvement invention” under the license agreement, and, in part, breach of the license agreement. Included in the dismissal were all assertions that Palatin owed CTI any sublicense fees, royalties or other payments under our now terminated collaborative development and marketing agreement with King Pharmaceuticals, Inc. relating to bremelanotidae.

Claims remaining for hearing and adjudication by the arbitration panel include claims relating to unfair trade practices, breach of duty of good faith and fair dealing, breach of contract relating to development of a specified licensed product and failure to provide supplements to a development plan, breach of the license agreement and determination whether bremelanotide is a “licensed product” under the license agreement between Palatin and CTI. A hearing on the merits is currently set for the first quarter of 2008. As the prevailing party on the summarily dismissed claims, we intend to seek attorney’s fees as provided under the license agreement.
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